

WATER DISTRI

Domestic Water System General Plan: Overview

INDIAN WELLS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING DECEMBER 9, 2020



BACKGROUND

- Previous Water System General Plans: 1977, 1985 (Addendum), 1990, 1997
- 1996 estimated service area population was 35,600. Current (2019) estimated service area population is 35,800
- ▶ 1996 yearly production was 8,500 AF. Current (2019) yearly production is 6,116 AF.
- ▶ 1996 unit production was 210 gpcd. Current (2019) unit production is 150 gpcd.
- 1996 average occupancy was 3.36 persons/connection. Current (2019) average occupancy is 2.84 persons per connection.





WATER DEMANDS

- Population Projections
- Water Use Per Capita
- Service Area Profile
- Production Requirements to Meet Projected Demands
- Demand Distribution
- Average and Maximum Day Demands
- Storage Components
- Fire Flow Requirements by Pressure Zone
- Production Requirements by Pressure Zone





POPULATION

- Population projections for Kern County portion of service area is based on KernCOG estimates for 2020, 2035, and 2042. Projected growth rate from 2020 forward is slightly less than 1%.
- Population estimates for San Bernardino County portion of service area is based on number of connections multiplied by persons/household from 2014-2018 census data (2.57 to 2.61 persons/household). Projected number of connections is assumed to be proportional to population increase in Kern County portion of service area.





GENERAL PLAN COMPARISON PROJECTED POPULATION





Year

WATER DISTRICT







INDIAN WELLS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT DOMESTIC WATER SYSTEM 2018 SERVICE AREA PROFILE

CUSTOMER TYPE	SERVICES	% OF TOTAL SERVICES
Single Family	11,697	91.28%
Multi Family	350	2.73%
Commercial	638	4.98%
Other*	64	0.50%
Inactive connections	66	0.52%
Total:	12,815	100.00%

*Fire suppression, street cleaning, line flushing, construction meters and temporary meters.





INDIAN WELLS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT DOMESTIC WATER SYSTEM PROJECTED WATER PRODUCTION REQUIREMENTS AND SERVICE CONNECTIONS

YEAR	PROJECTED WATER PRODUCTION REQUIREMENTS (AF)	PROJECTED SERVICE CONNECTIONS (EA)
2018	6,765 ⁽¹⁾	12,749 ⁽¹⁾
2020	6,730	12,602
2025	6,930	12,976
2030	7,130	13,347
2035	7,690	14,399
2040	7,830	14,671
2045	8,050	15,079





DEMAND DISTRIBUTION

- Existing demands were set up using meter routes, distributed to system nodes
- Future demands were distributed using estimated ultimate population projections (2045) from KernCOG for Transportation Analysis Zones (TAZs) within District Service Area. Growth was distributed to nodes within each TAZ.





Q

DEMAND DISTRIBUTION: EXAMPLE METER ROUTE AND TAZ



AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM DAY DEMANDS

- Factors used for sizing facilities
- Average Day Demand (ADD)
 - Current: 6.0 MGD
 - Ultimate: 7.2 MGD
- Maximum Day Demand (MDD) = 2.0 X ADD
 - Current: 12.0 MGD
 - Ultimate: 14.4 MGD





RESERVOIR STORAGE COMPONENTS

- Operational Storage (to even out the daily demands and provide adequate system pressure) = 0.2 X MDD
- Emergency Storage (to provide additional storage in the case of a temporary power or service outage)
 - Minimum =1.0 X ADD (24 hours of ADD)
 - Optimum = 1.0 X MDD (24 hours of MDD)
 - Off-Peak Power (OPP) Storage = 5 hrs/24 hrs (0.21) X MDD
- Fire Flow Storage varies by pressure zone





FIRE FLOW REQUIREMENTS BY PRESSURE ZONE

Zone Designation		Fire		Storage		
Elevation	Name	Flow (gpm)	Duration (hours)	Requirements (gallons)		
2,455	А	4,000	4	960,000		
2,555	В	2,000	4	480,000		
2,660	С	2,000	2	240,000		
2,775	D	1,500	2	180,000		
2,885	E	3,000	3	540,000		





PROJECTED PRODUCTION REQUIREMENTS BY PRESSURE ZONE IN GALLONS PER MINUTE (NORMAL OPERATION)

								Existing
Pressure Zone	Production Demand	2018	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	
A Zone	Average Dav	3.112	3.217	3.343	3.471	3.680	3.893	
(2455)	Maximum Day	6,225	6,434	6,686	6,942	7,361	7,786	8,500
B Zone	Average Day	739	742	744	745	746	749	
(2555)	Maximum Day	1,478	1,483	1,487	1,490	1,492	1,498	4,400 ⁽²⁾
C Zone	Average Day	201	201	201	201	201	201	
(2660)	Maximum Day	402	402	402	402	402	402	(2)
D Zone	Average Day	43	43	43	43	43	43	
(2775)	Maximum Day	86	86	86	86	86	86	(2)
E Zone	Average Day	99	99	99	99	99	99	
(2885)	Maximum Day	198	198	198	198	198	198	(2)
SYSTEM TOTAL	Average Day	4,194	4,301	4,429	4,559	4,769	4,985	
	Maximum Day	8,388	8,603	8,859	9,118	9,538	9,969	12,900 ⁽³⁾

Notes:

(1) Not including Well 35.

(2) B Zone production supplies C, D and E Zones

(3) Not accounting for any necessary future well replacements.





PROJECTED PRODUCTION REQUIREMENTS BY PRESSURE ZONE IN GALLONS PER MINUTE (OFF PEAK POWER)⁽⁴⁾

								Existing
Pressure Zone	Production Demand	2018	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	Production ⁽¹⁾
A Zone	Average Day	3,931	4,064	4,223	4,385	4,649	4,917	
(2455)	Maximum Day	7,863	8,127	8,446	8,769	9,297	9,835	8,500 ⁽³⁾
B Zone	Average Day	934	937	939	941	943	946	
(2555)	Maximum Day	1,867	1,874	1,879	1,882	1,885	1,893	4,400 ⁽²⁾
C Zone	Average Day	254	254	254	254	254	254	
(2660)	Maximum Day	507	507	507	507	507	507	(2)
D Zone	Average Day	54	54	54	54	54	54	
(2775)	Maximum Day	109	109	109	109	109	109	(2)
E Zone	Average Day	125	125	125	125	125	125	
(2885)	Maximum Day	250	250	250	250	250	250	(2)
SYSTEM TOTAL	Average Day	5,298	5,433	5,595	5,759	6,024	6,296	
	Maximum Day	10,595	10,867	11,190	11,517	12,048	12,593	12,900 ⁽⁵⁾

Notes:

(1) Not including Wells 35 and 36.

(2) B Zone production supplies C, D and E Zones

(3) B Zone production supplements A Zone demand requirements.

(4) Requires Maximum Day Production over a 19 hour period (no production between 4:00 pm and 9:00 pm).

(5) Not accounting for any necessary future well replacements.





WATER SUPPLY

- Existing Sources of Water Supply
 - Groundwater
- Potential Sources of Future Water Supply
 - Groundwater (including brackish groundwater)
 - Importation of Surface Water Groundwater Replenishment
 - Recycled Water (City of Ridgecrest) Groundwater Replenishment
- Groundwater Management
 - GSA: minimum 5,000 AF/Yr must be imported, to be financed by IWVWD and SVM.





EXISTING SYSTEM

- System Schematic
- System Map
- Potential Annexations
- System Model
- System Issues
- Proposed Resolution of System Issues







WATER DISTRICT









EXISTING SYSTEM: Potential Annexations

- The State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking Water, has requested that the District consider annexing several small water systems:
- Small Systems in the China Lake Area (covered by KernCOG population estimates—connections not included)
 - Dune III Mutual Water Company (population 119, 36 residential connections)
 - China Lake Acres Mutual Water Company (population 198, 60 residential connections)
 - Buttermilk Acres Water System (2 commercial connections)
 - Hometown Water Association (population 25, 12 residential connections)
 - Sierra Breeze Mutual Water Company (population 150, 60 residential connections)





EXISTING SYSTEM: Potential Annexations (continued)

Inyokern Area (not included)

- Inyokern CSD (ICSD) (population 1002, 244 residential connections, 20 commercial connections, 1 irrigation connection)
- East Inyokern Mutual Water Company (population 87, 28 residential connections)
- Gateway Market Water System (2 commercial connections)
- South Inyokern Area (not included)
 - Life Water Co-op (population 27, 18 residential connections)
 - Owens Peak West (population 60, 24 residential connections)
 - 148 East Water System (population 35, 13 residential connections)
 - South Desert Mutual Water Company (population 26, 13 residential connections)
 - Owens Peak South (population 40, 17 residential connections)
- North Inyokern Area (not included)
 - West Valley Mutual Water Company (population 70, 41 residential connections)
 - Sweet Water Co-op (population 47, 15 residential connections)











EXISTING SYSTEM: System Model (Street Map Background)







EXISTING SYSTEM: System Model (Aerial Photo Background)



ATER DISTRIC



EXISTING SYSTEM: System Model (USGS Topo Background)







System Issues

- Maintaining consistent reservoir levels without impacting fire-flow and emergency storage
- High-pressure area in Zone B when Ridgecrest Heights and Springer Reservoirs are full, and Gateway Reservoir is filling. High pressures are sufficient to cause pipe failures.
- Summary: Undersized East-West and North-South Arteries
- These problems will increase in severity under future conditions without system improvements.





System Issues: Proposed Resolution

- A-Zone Improvements
 - Construction of A-4 Reservoir
 - Construction of 30" Pipeline in Bowman Rd. from Brady St. to China Lake Blvd.
 - Construction of 24" Pipeline (30" for OPP nominal cost difference) in Bowman Road from China Lake Blvd. to Proposed A-4 Reservoir
 - (For OPP only) Construction of 30" Pipeline in College Heights Blvd. from Bowman Rd. to Kendal Reservoir
 - (For OPP only) Utilization of Springer PRV
- B-Zone Improvements
 - Construction of 24" Pipeline in Springer Ave. from Mahan St. to College Heights Blvd.
 - Construction of 24" Pipeline in Gateway Blvd. from Springer Ave. to Gateway Reservoirs























System Issues (Continued): B-Zone High-Pressure Area



























K&S





K















DISASTER PREPAREDNESS

- A disaster preparedness project, currently in the design phase, will replace damaged facilities and increase storage at four reservoir sites.
- Project will mitigate impacts of future power outages and earthquakes, will provide reserve storage for repair and maintenance activities, and may be used to provide supplemental storage for other zones.
- The project will add redundant storage capacity in B, C, and E Zones in excess of General Plan criteria:
 - **B Zone**: 1.0 MG new capacity (Gateway Reservoir)
 - **C Zone**: 0.6 MG net increase in capacity
 - **E Zone**: 0.55 MG new capacity (College Reservoir)





ULTIMATE STORAGE FACILITY REQUIREMENTS BY PRESSURE ZONE IN MILLION GALLONS (MG)

COMPARED TO EXISTING STORAGE PLUS DISASTER PREPAREDNESS PROJECT

			Required		Existing		
Zone	Storage Component	Minimum	Minimum + Off-Peak	Optimum	Optimum + Off-Peak	Existing Storage	Storage With Disaster Preparedness
_ A-Zone	Operational	2.24	2.24	2.24	2.24		
(2455)	Fire	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	1	
	OPP	0.00	2.34	0.00	2.34	1	
	Emergency	5.61	5.61	11.21	11.21	1	
	Total	8.81	11.14	14.41	16.75	9.00	9.00
B-Zone	Operational	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43	/	
(2555)	Fire	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	1	
	OPP	0.00	0.45	0.00	0.45	1	
	Emergency	1.08	1.08	2.16	2.16	1	
	Total	1.99	2.44	3.07	3.52	5.55	6.55
C-Zone	Operational	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	I	
(2660)	Fire	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	1	
	OPP	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.12	1	
	Emergency	0.29	0.29	0.58	0.58	1	
	Total	0.64	0.77	0.93	1.05	1.40	2.00
D-Zone	Operational	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	/	
(2775)	Fire	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	1	
	OPP	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	1	
	Emergency	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.12	1	
	Total	0.27	0.29	0.33	0.35	0.50	0.50
E-Zone	Operational	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	/	
(2885)	Fire	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54	1	
	OPP	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.06	1	
	Emergency	0.14	0.14	0.28	0.28	1	
	Total	0.74	0.80	0.88	0.94	0.55	1.10
Totals	Operational	2.87	2.87	2.87	2.87	 	
	Fire	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	1	
	OPP	0.00	2.99	0.00	2.99	1	
	Emergency	7.18	7.18	14.36	14.36	1	
	TOTAL	12.45	15.44	19.63	22.62	17.00	19.15





DISASTER PREPAREDNESS: SUMMARY

- Zones B,C,D, and E will increase supplemental storage with implementation of Disaster Preparedness project.
- A-Zone, even with the addition of Reservoir A-4, will not have sufficient storage on its own to satisfy ultimate storage requirements with optimum emergency storage; but it would be possible to make use of B-Zone's excess storage in A-Zone.
- Consider policy question of whether Disaster Preparedness storage should be reserved exclusively for disaster preparedness, or used for other purposes such as Off-Peak Power storage in A-Zone.











PRESENTED BY: Krieger & Stewart, Incorporated (951) 684-6900

Charles A. Krieger ckrieger@kriegerandstewart.com